1410	Introduction	

This module requests information about your organization's disclosure to CDP and will help data users to interpret your responses in the context of your business operations, timeframe and reporting boundary.

		Nameplate capacity (MW)	% of total nameplate capacity	Gross generation (MWh)	
/-EU0.1b (W-EL	JO.1b) For your electricity generation activities, provide of	details of your nameplate capacity and the ger	neration for each power source.		
	W-EU0.1a				
	Your response to W-EU0.1a prompts subsequent indicates if it is a dependent question.	nt questions. If your response to W-EU0.1a is	amended, data in those dependent questions may be en	ased. In this case, be sure to re-enter data for all relevant questions. The guidance for each question	า
	Select all that apply:				
		Other, please specify		No	
		Distribution		Yes	
		Electricity generation Transmission		Yes Yes	
	sector does your organization engage in?			Voc	
	(W-EU0.1a) Which activities in the electric utilit	ties			
-EU0.1a					
	W0.1				
					≤ 5000
		approximately 95 percent of withdrawn	water to surface water bodies in an environmentally re	sponsible way that protects both water quality and the environment.	
				f water in electric generation is the highest among our operating areas. We continue to identify and sour it a It is not a resource for the surrounding community. For example, our electric generation facilities return.	
				n to turn turbines as well as to provide cooling water for condensers and water re-use. While NIPS nize the need to maintain water quantity and quality in our region and continue to invest in and	со
		megawatts (4,000 MW) and is diverse i	n its portfolio providing electric service from fossil fuel,	natural gas, hydroelectric, and purchased renewable source credits such as wind power.	
		·		nce the reliability of electric power availability. NIPSCO owns and operates three coal-fired genera stations. The NIPSCO fleet has a combined electric generating capacity of approximately 4,000	ting
		NIPSCO electric transmission is markete	ed by the Midcontinent Independent Systems Operators	tes, transmits, and distributes electricity to approximately 468,000 customers in northern Indiana. (MISO), a nonprofit organization created to offer regional wholesale electric transmission services	
				mately 59,000 miles of pipe and serve approximately 3.5 million customers across seven states.	
		,		ams that prioritize environmental, health and safety responsibilities and achieves environmental, h tal stewardship reflective of a premier energy company.	ealth
	introduction to your organization.			Injudyees ensure approximately 4 million Columbia das and MP3CO customers have the energy the United States, covering service territories across Indiana, Kentucky, Maryland, Massachusetts, Ohio	
	(W0.1) Give a general description of and	GENERAL Nisource, Inc. is a leading nat	ural gas and electric utility company. Our pearly 9 000 o	mployees ensure approximately 4 million Columbia Gas and NIPSCO customers have the energy the	ov
.1					

Coal – hard	2,574.00	80.00	87,388.80
	0 - 99999999999	0 - 100	0 - 9999999999
Lignite			
	0 - 99999999999	0 - 100	0 - 9999999999
Oil			
			0 - 9999999999
Gas	721.00	21.80	26,054.60
	0 - 99999999999	0 - 100	0 - 9999999999
Biomass			
	0 - 99999999999	0 - 100	0 - 99999999999
Waste (non-biomass)	0 - 99999999999	0 - 100	0 - 9999999999
Nuclear	0 3333333333	0 100	0 333333333
	0 - 99999999999	0 - 100	0 - 9999999999
Geothermal			
	0 - 99999999999	0 - 100	0 - 9999999999
Hydroelectric	10.00	0.30	330.60
	0 - 99999999999	0 - 100	0 - 9999999999
Wind			
	0 - 99999999999	0 - 100	0 - 9999999999
Solar			
	0 - 99999999999	0 - 100	0 - 9999999999
Other renewable			
	0 - 99999999999	0 - 100	0 - 9999999999
Other non-renewable			

	0 - 9999999999	0 - 100	0 - 9999999999	
Total	3,305.0	00	100.00 12,666,38	8.00
	0 - 9999999999	0 - 100	0 - 9999999999	
W-EU0.1b prompts linked que	ed if you select "Electricity generation" in W-EU0.1a. estions to be presented depending on the response given. on indicates if it is a dependent question.			
W-OG0.1a				
(W-OG0.1a) Which business of gas sector apply to your orga				
g	Upstream		Yes	
	Downstream		No	_
	Chemicals Other, please specify		No No	\dashv
Select all that apply:	Other, please specify		NO	
	prompts subsequent questions. If your response to W-OG0.1a question.	is amended, data in those dependent questions m	nay be erased. In this case, be sure to re-enter data for all	relevant questions. The guidance for each question
w0.2				
(W0.2) State the start and end date of the y	year for which you are reporting data.			
	Start date	End date		
Reporting year	01/01/20	17 31/12	2/2017	
			 -	
W0.2				
W0.3				
(W0.3) Select the countries/r will be supplying data.	regions for which you			
wiii be supprying data.	United States of America		Yes	
Select all that apply:				
W0.3				
W0.4				
(N/O 4) Calaat tha access and	USD USD			
(W0.4) Select the currency us information disclosed throug				
All disclosed financial figures	throughout the questionnaire will be in the same currency. Th	ne currency reported in this question will apply to a	all reported figures throughout this request.	
W0.4				
W0.5				

	(W0.5) Select the option that best describes the reporting boundary for companies, entities, or groups for which water impacts on your business are being reported.	Companies, entities or groups over which ope	erational control is exercised			
	W0.5					
W0.6	W 0.5					
	(W0.6) Within this boundary, are there any geographies, facilities, water aspects, or other exclusions from your disclosure?	Yes				
	W0.6					
W0.6a						
	(W0.6a) Please report the exclusions.					
		Exclusion (≤ 2500)	Please explain (≤ 2500)			
	Row 1	Only the NIPSCO Generating Stations (electric generation) are reported in this disclosure. The NiSource natural gas distribution companies (i.e. Columbia Gas) and NIPSCO's natural gas operations use de minimis amounts of water by comparison to NIPSCO electric generation and that use is not tracked or recorded.	NiSource tracks the water use for only the NIPSCO electric generation operations.			
	This question only appears if you select "Yes" in resp	ponse to W0.6.				
	W0 6a					

W1. Current state

The information in this module allows CDP data users to build a picture of the dependence of your direct operations and your wider value chain on sufficient amounts of water of a particular quality, currently and for future growth, and where in the value chain most dependence on water lies.

The questions allow your company to demonstrate how well it understands its corporate hydrology by providing information on the monitoring of relevant water aspects, and volumetric data.

The module also asks about your engagement activity around water in your value chain and a rationale for it.CDP's approach to reporting water accounting data

When reporting volumetric data please read the guidance for each question as well as the CDP Technical Note on water accounting definitions.

Sufficient amounts of good quality freshwater available for

use

To reduce their impact on water ecosystems and resources as well as their need to manage water-related risks, organisation should minimize and be able to account for all their interaction with water. For

Note on water 1.1	larly relevant where there is a lack of standardization. Con	inputites must not provide water accou	anting data that does not a	ight with the definitions given.
VV 1.1	(W1.1) Rate the importance (current and future) of wat	er quality and water quantity to the s	uccess of your business.	
		Direct use importance	Indirect use importance	•
		rating	rating	Please explain (≤ 1000)
		Vital	Important	NiSource's subsidiary,
				NIPSCO utilizes
				freshwater for use in
				operations pertaining to
				its three coal fired
				generating stations and
				one combined cycle
				natural gas turbine
				generating station. Additionally, NIPSCO
				operates two
				hydroelectric dam
				facilities. Abundant
				water is critical for
				continued operations.
				Some sperations

	Vital	Important	NIPSCO utilizes internally recycled water from its		
			circulating water system		
			for use in Flue Gas		
			Desulfurization (FGD)		
			units. While operations is able to control and		
			provide recycled water,		
			abundant water is		
			critical for continued		
			operations.		
Sufficient amounts of recycled, brackish and/or produced water available for use					
water available for use					
Your response to W1.1 prompts subsequent question					
erased. In this case, be sure to re-enter data for all i	elevant questions. The guida	ince for each question indica	ites if it is a dependent questi	on.	
W1.1					
W1.2					
(W1.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following	ig water aspects are regularly	y measured and monitored?	•		
	% of				
	sites/facilities/operation				
	S	Please explain (≤ 1000)			
	76-99	Indiana Department of			
		Natural Resources			
		requires monitoring and			
		reporting of Significant			
		Withdrawal data on an annual basis.			
Metavorith describe Antal values		ailliudi DaSIS.			
Water withdrawals – total volumes					
	Not relevant				
Water withdrawals – volumes from water stressed areas					

	76-99	Indiana Department of
		Natural Resources
		monthly reporting
		requirement for the
		Kankakee River.
		Additionally, water
		withdrawals, including
		groundwater, are
		tracked at all stations for
		the annual significant
		withdrawal report.
		Witharawar report.
Water withdrawals – volumes by source		
Produced water associated with your oil & gas sector	Not relevant	
activities - total volumes		
	76-99	In order to provide the
	1,000	water quality for our
		operations, general
		water quality of the raw
		water sources is
		monitored and
		evaluated prior to
		additional in-house
		water treatment. In
		addition, where
		groundwater is used as a
		potable source water,
		the water quality is
		monitored in accordance
		with requirements from
		the U.S. Environmental
		Protection Agency as
		well as the Indiana
		Department of
		Environmental
		Management and the
		Management and the Department of Health.
Water withdrawals quality		

	76-99	Discharge volume is a required datum reported in the Clean Water Act required Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) submitted to the Indiana Department of Environmental Management for compliance with the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program.	
Water discharges – total volumes			
Water discharges – volumes by destination	76-99	DMRs are facility specific.	
Water discharges – volumes by treatment method	76-99	DMRs are facility specific, which utilize a single treatment approach.	
	76-99	All NIPSCO generating unit's discharged water quality is tested in accordance with the parameters identified in the applicable NPDES permit, in accordance with the Clean Water Act, and reported through the NPDES programs DMR process.	
Water discharge quality – by standard effluent paramete	rs		

		TC 00	lat. I	
		76-99	Discharge water	
		1	temperature is a	
		1	required datum reported	
		1 1	in the Clean Water Act	
		1	required Discharge	
		1	required Discharge	
		1	Monitoring Report	
		1	(DMR) submitted to the	
		1	Indiana Department of	
		1	Environmental	
		1	Management for	
		1		
		1	compliance with the	
		1	National Pollution	
		1	Discharge Elimination	
		1	System (NPDES)	
		1	program.	
		1	1,0	
		1		
	Water discharge quality – temperature	1		
	discharge quality temperature			
		76.00	Extract to the state of	
		76-99	Estimated based on the	
		1	mathematical difference	
		1	between the total	
		1	withdrawal and	
		1	discharge volumes.	
		1	Engineering estimates	
		1	Linguisering estimates	
		1	are applied to account	
		1	for loss from	
		1	evaporation in the	
		1	application of cooling	
		1	tower technology.	
		1	ione. teamology.	
1	Water consumption – total volume			
		1-25	Water used to sluice ash	
			is discharged to a pond	
		1	is discharged to a pond	
		1	system. A portion of this	
		1	water can be redirected,	
		1	as needed, and reused in	
		1	select operations	
		1	including main system	
		1		
		1	service water, non-	
		1	contact cooling	
		1	purposes, and as make-	
		1	up to environmental	
		1	control equipment such	
		1	as the flue gas	
		1	double line 802	
		1	desulfurization units.	
		1		
	Makes recorded /	1		
	Water recycled/reused			

The provision of fully-functioning, safely managed services to all workers	100% WASH	All NiSource facilities provide water of adequate quality for purposes of drinking, sanitation, and hygiene.
determine which subsequent questions are	oresented. If your response to W1 Tyou select "Not monitored" or "No	our "Direct use importance rating" in response to W1.1. Your response to W1.2 will .2 is amended, data in those dependent questions may be erased. In this case, be sure lot relevant" in response to W1.2, you will not be able to disclose associated is a dependent question.
W-EU1.2a		
(W-EU1.2a) For your hydroelectric operations, what propo	ortion of the following water aspec	cts are regularly measured and monitored?
	% of sites/facilities/operation s measured and monitored	n Please explain (≤ 2500)
	100%	We monitor dissolved oxygen as required by our FERC (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission) license. In addition, during periods of low flow, we implement our abnormal low flow plan, as required by USFWS (U.S. Fish & amp; Wildlife Service) to protect existing endangered mussel habitat.
Fulfilment of downstream environmental flows		
Sediment loading	Not monitored	
Other, please specify	Not relevant	
This question only appears if you select "Ne hydroelectric operations disclosed in W-EUO W-EU1.2a		s your "Direct use importance rating" in response to W1.1 and if you have any

(W1.2b) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, dischar year?	ged, and consumed across all	your operations, and how d	do these volumes compare to the previous reporting	
	Volume (megaliters/year)	Comparison with previous reporting year	Please explain (≤ 2000)	
Total withdrawals	356,179.45	Lower	6.5% decrease	
	0 - 99999999999			
Total discharges	337,359.08	Lower	7.0% decrease	
	0 - 99999999999			
Total consumption	18,820.36	Higher	5.1% increase. Consumption decreased at three of our four electric generating stations.	
	0 - 99999999999			
(IW OG1 2c) In your oil 8, gas sector operations, what are the total				
compared to the previous reporting year?	l volumes of water withdrawi	n, discharged, and consumed	ed – by business division – and what are the trends	
	volumes of water withdrawn Volume (megaliters /year)	comparison with previous reporting year	ed — by business division — and what are the trends Please explain (≤ 2500)	
	Volume (megaliters	Comparison with previous reporting year		
compared to the previous reporting year?	Volume (megaliters /year)	Comparison with previous reporting year	Please explain (≤ 2500) Water is not used our oil & amp; gas sector	
	Total withdrawals Total discharges Total consumption This question only appears if you select "Neutral" " determine which subsequent questions are present	Volume (megaliters/year) Total withdrawals 356,179.45 0 - 999999999999 Total discharges 337,359.08 0 - 999999999999 18,820.36 Total consumption 0 - 999999999999 This question only appears if you select "Neutral" "Important," or "Vital" as your determine which subsequent questions are presented. If your response to W1.2 is	Volume (megaliters/year) Comparison with previous reporting year Total withdrawals 356,179.45 Lower 0 - 999999999999 Total discharges 337,359.08 Lower 0 - 999999999999 18,820.36 Higher Total consumption 0 - 999999999999 This question only appears if you select "Neutral" "Important," or "Vital" as your "Direct use importance raid determine which subsequent questions are presented. If your response to W1.2 is amended, data in those determine which subsequent questions are presented. If your response to W1.2 is amended, data in those determine which subsequent questions are presented. If your response to W1.2 is amended, data in those determine which subsequent questions are presented. If your response to W1.2 is amended, data in those determine which subsequent questions are presented.	Total withdrawals Total withdrawals 356,179.45 Lower 6.5% decrease 0 - 99999999999 Total discharges 337,359.08 Lower 7.0% decrease 0 - 999999999999 18,820.36 Higher S.1% increase. Consumption S.1% increase. Consumption decreased at three of our four electric generating stations. 17.1% question only oppears if you select "Neutral" "Important," or "Vital" as your "Direct use importance rating" in response to W1.1 Your response to W1.2 will determine which subsequent questions are presented. If your response to W1.2 is amended, data in those dependent questions may be crossed.

		0 - 99999999999					
	Total consumption – Upstream	0.00		Water is not used our oil & amp; gas sector operations.			
	Rows in this question will be presented according t W-OG1.2c	to the business divisions repo	rted in W-OG0.1a.				
W1.2h	(W1.2h) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.						
		Relevance	Volume (megaliters/year)	Comparison with previous reporting year	Please explain (≤ 1000)		
	Fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers, and lakes	Relevant	349,424.25	Lower	8.2% decrease		
			0 - 99999999999				
	Brackish surface water/seawater						
	Groundwater – renewable	Relevant	6,755.20 0 - 99999999999	Higher	Increase may be partially due to improved monitoring.		
	Groundwater – non-renewable		1				
	Produced water]				
	Third party sources						
	This question only appears if you indicate in W1.2 www.2h	that you monitor the followin	g water aspect(s): Water with	ndrawals – volume by source	2.		
W1.2i	(W1.2i) Provide total water discharge data by destination.						
		Relevance	Volume (megaliters/year)	Comparison with previous reporting year	Please explain (≤ 1000)		

	Fresh surface water	Relevant	337,359.08	Lower	7.0% decrease
			0 - 99999999999		
	Brackish surface water/seawater				
	Groundwater				
	Third-party destinations				
	This question only appears if you inc W1.2i	dicate in W1.2 that you monitor the following	g water aspect(s): Water discl	harges – volume by destina	tion
W1.2j	VV 1.21				
	(W1.2j) What proportion of your total water use d	do you recycle or reuse?			
			Comparison with		
		% recycled and reused	previous reporting year	Please explain (≤ 2500)	
		11-25	This is our first year of	Engineering estimate.]
	Row 1		measurement		J
	This question only appears if you inc	dicate in W1.2 that you monitor the following	g water aspect(s): Water recy	cled/reused.	
		a metals & mining or oil & gas sector request es in those sectors. Data submitted for W1.2j I			
	reporting boundary.	is in those sectors. Duta submitted for W1.251	ne. snould be with associated	with their whole organiza	non as acjinea by then
	W1.2j				
W-OG1.2j	(W. OC1 3) What apparation of countated water up		a accordated with the cil O co	Creaters 2	
	(W-OG1.2j) What proportion of your total water u	ise do you recycle or reuse in your operations	s associated with the oil & ga	s sector r	
			Communication		
		% recycled and reused	Comparison with previous reporting year	Please explain (≤ 2500)	
	Unatura o un				1
	Upstream				J
		dicate in W1.2 that you monitor the following	g water aspect(s):		
	 Water recycled/reused. Rows in this question will be present 	ted according to the business divisions report	ted in W-OG0.1a		
	W-OG1.2j	,			
W-EU1.3				7	
	(W-EU1.3) Do you calculate water in your electricity generation activities				
	jour electricity generation detivities				

	to W1.1.	"Important" or "Vital" as your "Direct use importance rating" or your "Ir" r W-EU1.3a is presented. If your response to W-EU1.3 is amended, data in on" is selected in response to W-EU0.1a			
W-EU1.3a	(W-EU1.3a) Provide the following intensity information associat	ed with your electricity generation activities.			
		Water intensity value Numerator: water aspect	Denominator: unit of production	Comparison with previous reporting year	Please explain (≤ 2000)
	Row 1	31,305,868.32 Total water withdrawn	MWh	Higher	6.9% increase
		0 - 99999999999			
	Row 2	1,654,188.13 Total water consumption	MWh	Higher	20.1% increase
		0 - 99999999999			
	This question only appears if you select"Yes" to qu W-EU1.3a	uestion W-EU1.3.			
W-OG1.3	(W-OG1.3) Do you calculate water intensity for your activities associated with the oil & gas sector?	No, and we have no plans to do so in the next two years			
	to W1.1.	"Important" or "Vital" as your "Direct use importance rating" or your "Ir r W-OG1.3a is presented. If your response to W-OG1.3 is amended, data			
W1.4	(W1.4) Do you engage with your value chain on water-related issues?				
		Yes, our suppliers No			

This qu	Yes, our customers or other value chain partners No, not currently but we intend to within two years No, we do not engage on water with our value chain all that apply: uestion only appears if you select "Neutral", "Important", or "Vital" as your "Indirect use importance rots subsequent questions. If your response to W1.4 is amended, data already entered in those dependent	rating" in response to W1.1. Your response to W1.4
enter a	data for all relevant questions. The guidance for each question indicates if it is a dependent question.	
W1.4		
W1.4d (W1.4d) Why do you	u not engage with any stages of your value chain on water-related issues and what are your plans?	
	Primary reason	Please explain (≤ 1500)
Row 1	Other, please specify Beyond our control	We do not control nor have influence on the operating conditions of our suppliers.
Now 1	Lecyona dar control	, L
This qu W1.4d	uestion only appears if you select "No, we do not engage on water with our value chain" in response t d	o W1.4.

W2. Business impacts

module asks about water-retions.	elated impacts on your organization and your response to the	em. These are impacts that have occurred in the past repo	rting year, including those resulting from regulatory
W2.1			
	(W2.1) Has your organization experienced any detrimental water-related impacts?	No	
	W2.1		
W2.2			
	(W2.2) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?		
		Yes, fines	No
		Yes, enforcement orders or other penalties	No
			No
		Yes, fines, enforcement orders or other penalties but none that are considered as significant	
		No	Yes
		Don't know	No
	Select all that apply:		
		quent questions are presented in this section. If your respo e-enter data for all relevant questions. The guidance for ea	
	V V Z.Z		

W3. Procedures This module requests information about the procedures that organizations have in place to manage issues salient to their sector and to understand inherent risk exposure. Questions in sections W3.1 and W3.2 are targeted at specific sectors only and focus on water pollution issues. W-EU3.1 (W-EU3.1) How does your organization identify The USEPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency) establishes environmental regulations applicable to the and classify potential water pollutants associated electric utilities sector. These regulations identify potential water pollutants as well as the monitoring with your business activities in the electric utilities requirements. sector that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health? ≤ 5000 This question only appears if "Electricity generation" is selected for question W-EU0.1a. W-EU3.1a (W-EU3.1a) Describe how your organization minimizes the adverse impacts of potential water pollutants associated with your activities in the electric utilities sector on water ecosystems or human health. Description of water Potential water pollutant and potential pollutant impacts (≤ 2500) Management procedures Please explain (≤ 2500) Select all that apply: All NIPSCO electric generating units Hydrocarbons Oil and associated Yes products are restricted, must comply with the requirements via federal regulation, of the Clean Water Act via the from being released into National Pollutant Discharge the water system. Elimination System (NPDES) program. Compliance with the NPDES program includes monitoring our water discharge for hydrocarbons. In addition, the Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasure (SPCC) and the Facility Response Plan (FRP) rules require planning and prevention plans are implemented. The SPCC rule helps facilities prevent a discharge of oil into navigable waters or adjoining shorelines. The FRP rule requires certain facilities to submit a response plan and prepare to respond to a worst case oil discharge or threat of a discharge. Compliance with effluent

quality standards

Row 1

			Measures to prevent	Yes	
			spillage, leaching, and		
			leakages		
			Community/stakeholder	Yes	
			engagement		
				Yes	
			Emergency preparedness		
			No formal management	No	
			procedure in place		
			Other, please specify	No	
	Coal combustion	Coal combustion		Yes	All NIPSCO electric generating units
	residuals	residuals (CCRs) are			must comply with the requirements
		restricted, via federal			of the Clean Water Act via the
		regulation, from being			National Pollutant Discharge
		released into the water			Elimination System (NPDES)
		system.			program. In addition to traditional
		'			effluent discharge monitoring,
					facilities with combustion waste
					impoundments are required to
					evaluate the impoundments for
					potential discharge via seepage
					and/or failure of the structural
					integrity of the impoundment. The
					NIPSCO coal combustion residual
					impoundments are routinely
					monitored for compliance with
					application federal regulations.
					application reactal regulations.
			Compliance with effluent		
Row 2			quality standards		
			Measures to prevent	Yes	
			spillage, leaching, and		
			leakages		
			Community/stakeholder	Yes	
			engagement		
			- J	Yes	
			Emergency preparedness		
			No formal management	No	
			procedure in place		
			Other, please specify	No	
			, picase speeny		

Row 3	Thermal pollution	Adverse thermal discharge is restricted, via federal regulation, from being released into the water system.	Compliance with effluent quality standards Measures to prevent spillage, leaching, and leakages	Yes	All NIPSCO electric generating units must comply with the requirements of the Clean Water Act via the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program. Compliance with the NPDES program and section 316(a) of the CWA includes controlling and monitoring our thermal discharge.
	Other, please specify	Numerous chemical	Community/stakeholder engagement Emergency preparedness No formal management procedure in place	Yes No No No Yes	All NIPSCO electric generating units
	Other, please specify	pollutants and characteristics, including metals, nutrients, and organic compounds are restricted, via federal regulation, from being released into the water system.			must comply with the requirements of the Clean Water Act via the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program with monitoring and compliance programs for numerous potential water pollutants beyond the above listed measures taken for hydrocarbons, coal combustion residuals, and thermal discharge. We are subject to regulations covering hundreds of potential pollutants including chemical, biological, and physical
Row 4			engagement Emergency preparedness	Yes Yes Yes No	characteristics.

		Other, please specify No
	This question only appears if "Electricity generati W-EU3.1a	on" is selected for question W-EU0.1a.
W-OG3.1	(W-OG3.1) How does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities in the oil & gas sector that may have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems human health?	
	W-OG3.1	
W-OG3.1a	(W-OG3.1a) For each business division of your organization, de water pollutants associated with your oil & gas sector activities W-OG3.1a	scribe how your organization minimizes the adverse impacts on water ecosystems or human health of potential
W3.3	this case, be sure to re-enter data for all relevant	Yes, water-related risks are assessed tions to be presented. If your response to W3.3 is amended, data in those dependent questions may be erased. In questions. If your organization does not currently incorporate a edures you will be presented with question W3.3e.
W3.3a	(W3.3a) Select the options that best describe your procedures Direct operations Coverage	for identifying and assessing water-related risks. Full
	Risk assessment procedure	Water risks are assessed as part of other company-wide risk assessment system
	Frequency of assessment	Six-monthly or more frequently
	How far into the future are risks considered? Type of tools and methods used	2 to 5 years
		Tools on the market Enterprise Risk Management International methodologies Databases No Yes

	Other	Yes	
Select all that apply:			
Tools and methods used			
	FAO/AQUASTAT	No	
	Maplecroft Global Water Security Risk Index	No	
	Regional government databases	Yes	
	UNEP Vital Water Graphics	No	
	Internal company methods	Yes No	
	External consultants	No	
	National-specific tools or standards	No	
	Other, please specify Don't know	No	
Select all that apply:	DOIL CKNOW	NO	
Comment (≤ 500)			≤ 500
comment (2 300)			1 2 300
Supply chain			
Coverage	None		
			L
Comment (≤ 500)			≤ 500
Other stages of the value chain			
Coverage	None		
Comment (≤ 500)			≤500
This question only appears if you select "Yes, water-r	elated risks are assessed" in response to W3.3.		
W3.3a			
W3.3b			
(W3.3b) Which of the following contextual issues are considered in	your organization's water-related risk assessments?		
	Relevance & inclusion Please explain (≤ 2000)		
	ricase expiair (\$ 2000)		
	Relevant, always USGS (United States		
	included Geological Survey)		
	station data are		
	monitored for water		
	availability and low level conditions.		
Water availability at a basin/catchment level	Conditions.		

	Relevant, always	NIPSCO Chemical	
	included	Compliance department	
		monitors raw water	
		quality. These data are	
		used to guide our	
		pretreatment operations	
		as well as to provide	
		input towards future	
		potential water quality	
		issues.	
Water quality at a basin/catchment level			
	Relevant, always	NiSource/NIPSCO is	
	included	constantly involved with	
		local, regional, and other	
		stakeholder groups. This	
		interaction assists us in	
		operating in concert with	
		other activities and	
		water use initiatives as	
		well as with practices	
		desired by our	
		communities.	
Stakeholder conflicts concerning water resources at a			
basin/catchment level			
	Not relevant, explanation	The raw materials used	
	provided	in our electric generating	
		facilities are not shipped	
		via water. That said,	
		NIPSCO participates in	
		local stakeholder groups,	
		one of which routinely	
		assesses and evaluates	
		the topic of potential	
		limited water access.	
Implications of water on your key commodities/raw			

	Relevant, always included	NiSource/NIPSCO continuously interact with local, state, and federal agencies. Our Environmental Policy team is responsible for evaluating the regulatory framework affecting our business operations.	
Water-related regulatory frameworks			
	Relevant, always	The NiSource/NIPSCO	
	included	Environmental Natural	
		Resource Permitting	
		team monitors and	
		guides our operations	
		relative to ecosystems	
		and various habitats.	
Status of ecosystems and habitats			
	Relevant, always	NiSource/NIPSCO	
	included	Environmental and	
		Industrial Hygiene teams	
		are responsible for	
		managing our potable	
		water program to	
		provide adequate water	
		to employees for	
		personal use.	
Access to fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services			
for all employees			
Other contextual issues, please specify	Not considered		
This question only appears if you select "Yes, water-re	elated risks are assessed" ir	response to W3.3.	
W3.3b			
3.3c			
(W3.3c) Which of the following stakeholders are considered in your	organization's water-relat	ed risk assessments?	
(J S Water Felder		
	Relevance & inclusion	Please explain (≤ 2000)	
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(= 2000)	

Statistics continued with the continued of the continued			
Relevant, always Included		Relevant, always included	NiSource's primary business objective and this applies to all aspects of our business model including environmental matters. Customer survey responses and other customer feedback are received and considered in our
included customers and stakeholders. Moreover, employee knowledge and innovation are extremely valuable. Accordingly, their input is of great value and always considered in our planning process. Inselvant, always included Relevant, al	Customers		
Relevant, always included NiSource to deliver on our business objectives. Furthermore, NiSource is an investor-owned company, governed by our Board of Directors which receive information and provide direction in all aspects of our operation via our commitment to transparency.		Relevant, always included	customers and stakeholders. Moreover, employee knowledge and innovation are extremely valuable. Accordingly, their input is of great value and always considered in our
included NiSource to deliver on our business objectives. Furthermore, NiSource is an investor-owned company, governed by our Board of Directors which receive information and provide direction in all aspects of our operation via our commitment to transparency.	Employees		
Investors		Relevant, always included	NiSource to deliver on our business objectives. Furthermore, NiSource is an investor-owned company, governed by our Board of Directors which receive information and provide direction in all aspects of our operation via our commitment to
	Investors		

Relevant, always included NiSource is very active within our communities in which we both live and operate. Involved from numerous different levels within our communities, input is	
constantly received and information provided to be considered in our planning process.	
Local communities	
Relevant, always included NiSource employees actively participate in numerous local non- governmental organizations. We operate in a transparent manner with NGOs which, we believe, provides us the best opportunity to work in a collaborative manner towards goals that best serve all involved.	
NGOs	
Not relevant, explanation provided Other water users at a basin/catchment level Included in the other groups identified (customers, employees, NGOs).	
Relevant, always included NiSource routinely works collaboratively with our regulators to ensure compliance with applicable environmental regulations.	
Regulators	

	Relevant, always	NiSource employees	
	included	actively participate in the	
		local river basin	
		management groups. As	
		with NGOs and other	
		stakeholders, we operate	
		in a transparent manner	
		with all stakeholders	
		towards goals that best	
		serve all involved.	
River basin management authorities			
Title Sash Hallage Helit authorities			
	Not relevant, explanation	Included in the other	
	provided	groups identified	
		(customers, employees,	
Statutory special interest groups at a local level		NGOs).	
Statutory special interest groups at a local level			
	Not relevant, explanation	NIPSCO continues to	
	provided	works with suppliers of	
	provided	our water treatment	
		chemicals to ensure the	
		use and application of	
		additives appropriate to	
		meet federal/state/local	
		water quality standards.	
Suppliers			
	21		
	Relevant, always	As part of our local	
	included	stakeholders, NIPSCO	
		actively interfaces with	
		our local water utility to	
		ensure our operations do not negatively impact	
		the public water supply.	
Water utilities at a local level		the public water supply.	
	Not relevant, explanation	Included in the other	
	provided	groups identified	
		(customers, employees,	
Other stakeholder, please specify		NGOs).	
This question only appears if you select "Yes, water-re	lated risks are assessed" in	response to W3.3.	
W3.3c			
W3 3d	<u> </u>		

(W3.3d) Describe your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and responding to water-related risks within your direct operations and other stages of your value chain.

Water related risks are identified throughout the year using data from local databases as well as internal monitoring of water quality and water levels. At a minimum weekly meetings provide an opportunity for critical information to be passed along. Other opportunities occur at various monthly, quarterly, and annual update meetings.

≤ 2000

This question only appears if you select "Yes, water-related risks are assessed" in response to W3.3.
W3.3d

W4. Risks and opportunities

Providing information about inherent risk exposure rather than residual risk allows data-users to consider the potential impact and the appropriateness of the organization's response.

CDP asks about risks anywhere in your business that are substantive at the corporate level (not those that are significant only at the facility level, for example). We wish only to know about risks that have the

W4.1	(W4.1) Have you identified any inherent water-related risks with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business?	s, only within our direct operations	
	·	questions are presented. If your response to W4.1 is amended, data in those dependent questions may be ant questions. The guidance for each question indicates if it is a dependent question	
W4.1a			
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	PSCO electric generation relies on adequate water for purposes of appropriate non-contact cooling. sufficient water would limit our ability to operate.	≤ 5000
	Where there is a "Sheet of paper" icon to the right, you c "Copy previous response" button to import your updated W4.1a	can click on it to review and edit your response from last year. Once updates are made, click on the blue if response into this year's disclosure.	_
W4.1b	(W4.1b) What is the total number of facilities exposed to water risks with of your company-wide facilities does this represent?	rith the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business, and what proportion	
		ntal number of facilities % company-wide posed to water risk facilities this represents Comment (≤ 4500)	

Row 1	3 51-75	One coal-fired electric generating station that withdraws water from the Kankakee River, one coal-fired electric generating station that withdraws water from the White River, two hydroelectric facilities located on the Tippecanoe River.
	0 - 1000	
	select any of the following options in response to W4.1: Yes, both in operations; Yes, only in our value chain beyond our direct operation	

WM.1.c) By river basin, what is the number and proportion of facilities exposed to water risks that could have a substantive impact on your business, and what is the potential business impact associated with those facilities? Row 1	W4.1c				
River basin Other, please specify Kankakee River Number of facilities exposed to water risk % company-wide facilities this represents % company's annual electricity generation that could be affected by these facilities % company's global oil & gas production volume that could be affected by these facilities % company's total global revenue that could be affected Comment (\$ 5000) Row 2 Country/Region United States of America Other, please specify United States of America			cilities exposed to water risks that could have a su	bstantive impact on your business, and what is the po	tential
River basin Other, please specify Kankakee River Number of facilities exposed to water risk 1 0 - 1000 % company-wide facilities this represents % company's annual electricity generation that could be affected by these facilities % company's global oil & gas production volume that could be affected by these facilities % company's total global revenue that could be affected Comment (s 5000) Row 2 Country/Region United States of America Other, please specify			United States of America		
Number of facilities exposed to water risk Kankakee River		Country/Region	Officed States of Afficined		
Number of facilities exposed to water risk % company-wide facilities this represents % company's annual electricity generation that could be affected by these facilities *Eess than 1% % company's global oil & gas production volume that could be affected by these facilities % company's total global revenue that could be affected Comment (≤ 5000) Row 2 Country/Region United States of America Other, please specify		River basin	Other, please specify		
% company's annual electricity generation that could be affected by these facilities **Ress than 1% **Company's global oil & gas production volume that could be affected by these facilities **Company's total global revenue that could be affected Comment (\$\leq\$ 5000) **Row 2 Country/Region United States of America Other, please specify		Number of facilities exposed to water risk		0 - 1000	
could be affected by these facilities % company's global oil & gas production volume that could be affected by these facilities % company's total global revenue that could be affected Comment (≤ 5000) Row 2 Country/Region United States of America Other, please specify		% company-wide facilities this represents	26-50		
% company's global oil & gas production volume that could be affected by these facilities % company's total global revenue that could be affected Comment (≤ 5000) Row 2 Country/Region United States of America Other, please specify			26-50		
affected Comment (≤ 5000) Row 2 Country/Region United States of America Siver basin Other, please specify			• Eess than 1%		
Row 2 Country/Region United States of America River basin Other, please specify			26-50		
Country/Region United States of America River basin Other, please specify		Comment (≤ 5000)			≤ 5000
River basin Other, please specify		Row 2			
		Country/Region	United States of America		
White Divor		River basin	Other, please specify		
Number of facilities exposed to water risk 1 0 - 1000		Number of facilities exposed to water risk	White River	0 - 1000	

	% company-wide facilities this represents	1-25	
	% company's annual electricity generation that could be affected by these facilities	1-25	
	% company's global oil & gas production volume that could be affected by these facilities	• Eess than 1%	
	% company's total global revenue that could be affected	1-25	
	Comment (≤ 5000)		≤ 5000
Row 3	Country/Region	United States of America	
	River basin	Other, please specify	
	Number of facilities exposed to water risk	Tippecanoe River 0 - 1000	
	% company-wide facilities this represents	Less than 1%	
	% company's annual electricity generation that could be affected by these facilities	Less than 1%	
	% company's global oil & gas production volume that could be affected by these facilities	• Eess than 1%	
	% company's total global revenue that could be affected	Less than 1%	

Comment (≤ 5000) This question only appears if you select any of the following options in response to W4.1: Yes, both in direct operations and the rest of our value chain; Yes, only within our direct operations; Yes, only in our value chain beyond our direct operations. Note: W5 will request water accounting data for facilities located in the basins reported here. W4.1c

W4.2		
	(W4.2) Provide details of identified risks in your direct operati those risks.	cions with the potential to have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business, and your response to
	Row 1	
	Country/Region	United States of America
	River basin	Other, please specify
		All: Kankakee, White, Tippecanoe rivers
	Type of risk	Physical
	Primary risk driver	Declining water quality
	Primary potential impact	Closure of operations
		NIPSCO electric generation relies on adequate water for purposes of appropriate non-contact cooling. Insufficient water would limit our ability to operate. NIPSCO operates in areas of good water availability.
	Company-specific description (≤ 1500)	Accordingly, the probability of a substantive impact is low. ≤ 1500
	Timeframe	Unknown
	Magnitude of potential impact	Unknown
	Likelihood	Unlikely
	Potential financial impact	0 - 9999999999
	Explanation of financial impact (≤ 500)	≤ 500
		Adopt water efficiency, water re-use, recycling and conservation practices
	Primary response to risk	

	Identified a water reduction plan.		
		on plan. Based on baseline volumes from 2005, we are progress	sing
	towards our goal to reduce water with	ndrawal and water discharge by 90% by the end of 2025.	
Description of response (≤ 1500)			≤ 1500
Cost of response		0 - 99999999999	
Explanation of cost of response (≤ 500)			≤ 500
This question only appears if you select one of within our direct operations.	the following options in response to W4.1: Yes	s, both in direct operations and the rest of our value chain; Yes, (only
W4.2			
	osed to water risks in its value chain (beyond di	irect operations) with the potential to have a substantive financ	cial or
N4.2c) Why does your organization not consider itself expo trategic impact?	osed to water risks in its value chain (beyond di Primary reason	irect operations) with the potential to have a substantive finance. Please explain (≤ 2000)	cial or
			cial or
	Primary reason	Please explain (≤ 2000) Neither processing nor shipment of our primary raw materials (coal and natural gas) are not subject to water risks.	cial or
trategic impact?	Other, please specify Not applic	Please explain (≤ 2000) Neither processing nor shipment of our primary raw materials (coal and natural gas) are not subject to water risks.	cial or

(W4.3) Have you identified any water-related
opportunities with the potential to have a
substantive financial or strategic impact on your
business?

Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized

Your response to W4.3 will determine which subsequent questions are presented. If your response to W4.3 is amended, data in those dependent questions may be erased. In this case, be sure to re-enter data for all relevant questions. The guidance for each question indicates if it is a dependent question.

W4.3

a			
(W4.3a) F	Provide details of opportunities currently being realized th	at could have a substantive financial or strategic impact on your business.	
Ro	ow 1		
	Type of opportunity	Other	
	Primary water-related opportunity	Other, please specify	
		Ability to operate	
		NIPSCO electric generation relies on adequate water for purposes of non-contact cooling. Inadequate water	
	Company-specific description & strategy to realize	volume limits our ability to operate. Inadequate water quality requires additional pretreatment (added costs).	
	opportunity (≤ 1500)		≤ 1500
	Estimated timeframe for realization	Current - up to 1 year	
	Magnitude of potential financial impact	Unknown	
	Potential financial impact	0 - 9999999999	
	Explanation of financial impact (≤ 1500)		≤ 1500
	This question only appears if you select "Yes, we have W4.3a	e identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized" in response to question W4.3	

W5. Facility-level water accounting

All organizations are advised to refer to the CDP Technical Note on water accounting definitions when responding to this module.

You will need to complete this section only if you completed W4.1c because you have facilities exposed to water risks that have the potential to generate a substantive change to your business. CDP is not asking for information for all facilities, just those exposed to substantive water risk as defined in question W4.1a.

The number of sites you provide this water accounting data for should be the same as the number of sites reported in section W4.1. A maximum of 50 rows is provided. If you have more than 50 sites you can aggregate your data at the river basin level.

If you are reporting data for many facilities, you may prefer to complete this module offline. You can export questions by clicking the "Export" button and then entering/pasting your data directly into the fields of the exported spreadsheet. You can then import this file to populate the tables in these questions.

Units: Volumes must be reported in megaliters per year (1 megaliter = 1 million liters or 1,000 m3) in all questions, unless otherwise stated.

allas Diagga angura us	than recognition to those water accounting acceptions that t	calle are anticintantianally laft blank if you have no d	ata ta dicalaca. Diank calle ara interpretad ac pan di	colocura i o
W5.1				
	(W5.1) For each facility referenced in W4.1c, provide coor	dinates, total water accounting data and comparison	ns with the previous reporting year.	
	Volumetric data MUST be reported in mega	liters (1 megaliter = 1 million liters or 1,000 m3).		
	Row 1			
	Facility reference number	Facility 1		
	Facility name (optional) (≤ 500)	R.M. Schahfer Generating Station		≤ 500
	Country/Region	United States of America		
		au tour		
	River basin	Other, please specify		
		Kankakee River		
	Latitude	41.247197	00.00	
			-90 - 90	
	Enter a number from 0 to +/-90.000000 usi			
	Longitude	-87.024444	-180 - 180	
	Enter a number from 0 to +/-180.000000 us			
	Primary power generation source for your	Coal - hard		
	electricity generation at this facility			
	Oil & gas sector business division			
		Upstream	No	
		Not applicable	Yes	
	Select all that annly:			

	Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters/year)	30,475.21	0 - 99999999999	
	Comparison of withdrawals with previous reporting year	Higher		
	Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters/year)	18,422.46	0 - 99999999999	
	Comparison of discharges with previous reporting year	Higher		
	Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters/year)	12,052.37	0 - 99999999999	
	Comparison of consumption with previous reporting year	Higher		
		Water withdrawal and discharge volumes are based of agencies. In 2017, the Schahfer Station saw an increas and an increased consumption of 24.4% as compared	ed withdrawal of 13.7%, an increased return of 7.7%,	
	Please explain (≤ 500)			≤ 500
Row 2				
	Facility reference number	Facility 2		
	Facility name (optional) (≤ 500)	Sugar Creek Generating Station		≤ 500
	Country/Region	United States of America		
	River basin	Other, please specify		
	Latitude	White River	-90 - 90	

Enter a number from 0 to +/-90.000000 using a maxis Longitude	mum of six decimal places. -87.512500	-180 - 180	
Enter a number from 0 to +/-180.000000 using a ma.		100 100	
Primary power generation source for your electricity generation at this facility	Gas		
Oil & gas sector business division			
	Upstream	No	
	Not applicable	Yes	
Select all that apply:			
Total water withdrawals at this facility	4,275.62		
(megaliters/year)		0 - 99999999999	
Comparison of withdrawals with previous reporting year	Lower		
Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters/year)	1,559.97	0 - 99999999999	
Comparison of discharges with previous reporting year	Lower		
Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters/year)	2,715.65	0 - 99999999999	
Comparison of consumption with previous reporting year	Lower		
	Water withdrawal and discharge volumes are based or agencies. In 2017, the Sugar Creek Station saw an decr 19.4%, and an decreased consumption of 7.8% as com	eased withdrawal of 12.4%, an decreased return of	
Please explain (≤ 500)			≤ 500

This question only appears if you report that you have facilities exposed to water risk in W4.1c. Your response to W5.1 prompts subsequent questions. If your response to W5.1 is amended, data in those dependent questions may be erased. In this case, be sure to re-enter data for all relevant questions. The guidance for each question indicates if it is a dependent question. W5.1 W5.1a (W5.1a) For each facility referenced in W5.1, provide withdrawal data by water source. Volumetric data MUST be reported in megaliters (1 megaliter = 1 million liters or 1,000 m3). Row 1 Facility 1 Facility reference number R.M. Schahfer Generating Station Facility name (≤ 500) ≤ 500 23,720.01 Fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes 0 - 99999999999 Brackish surface water/seawater 0 - 99999999999 6,755.20 Groundwater - renewable 0 - 99999999999 Groundwater - non-renewable 0 - 99999999999 Produced water 0 - 99999999999 Third party sources 0 - 99999999999 Comment (≤ 500) ≤ 500 Row 2 Facility 2 Facility reference number Sugar Creek Generating Station Facility name (≤ 500) ≤ 500

0 - 99999999999

Fresh surface water, including rainwater, water

from wetlands, rivers and lakes

	Brackish surface water/seawater		0 - 99999999999	
	Groundwater - renewable	4,275.62	0 - 99999999999	
	Groundwater - non-renewable		0 - 99999999999	
	Produced water		0 - 99999999999	
	Third party sources		0 - 99999999999	
	Comment (≤ 500)			≤ 500
	This question only appears if you list facilities expos W5.1a	ed to water risk in W5.1.		
W5.1b				
(W5.1b) Fo	or each facility referenced in W5.1, provide discharge da			
	Volumetric data MUST be reported in megaliters (1	megaliter = 1 million liters or 1,000 m3).		
Rov	Facility reference number	Facility 1		
	Facility name (≤ 500)	R.M. Schahfer Generating Station		≤ 500
	Fresh surface water	18,422.46	0 - 99999999999	
	Brackish surface water/Seawater		0 - 99999999999	
	Groundwater		0 - 99999999999	
	Third party destinations		0 - 99999999999	
	Comment (≤ 500)			≤ 500
Roy	N 2			

Facility re	eference number	Facility 2		
Facility n	ame (≤ 500)	Sugar Creek Generating Station		≤ 500
Fresh sur	face water	1,559.97	0 - 99999999999	
Brackish	surface water/Seawater		0 - 99999999999	
Groundw	vater		0 - 99999999999	
Third par	rty destinations		0 - 99999999999	
Commen	nt (≤ 500)			≤ 500
This ques W5.1b	stion only appears if you list facilities exposed	to water risk in W5.1.		
W5.1c				
	y referenced in W5.1, provide the proportion ric data MUST be reported in megaliters (1 m		ed or reused, and give the comparison with the previous reporting year.	
Row 1 Facility re	eference number	Facility 1		
Facility n	ame (≤ 500)	R.M. Schahfer Generating Station		≤ 500
% recycle	ed or reused	11-25%		
Comparis	son with previous reporting year	This is our first year of measurement		
Please ex	xplain (≤ 500)	Engineering estimate		≤ 500
Row 2 Facility re	eference number	Facility 2		

	Facility name (≤ 500)	Sugar Creek Generating Station	≤ 500
	% recycled or reused	None	
	Comparison with previous reporting year	This is our first year of measurement	
	Please explain (≤ 500)		≤ 500
	This question only appears if you list facilities expose W5.1c	d to water risk in W5.1.	
W5.1d			
(W5.1d) Fo	or the facilities referenced in W5.1, what proportion of w	vater accounting data has been externally verified?	
Wa	ter withdrawals – total volumes		
	% verified	76-100	
	What standard and methodology was used?	Data submitted to Trinity Consultants for validation.	
	(≤ 500)		≤ 500
Wa	ter withdrawals – volume by source		
	% verified	76-100	
	What standard and methodology was used?	Data submitted to Trinity Consultants for validation.	
	(≤ 500)		≤ 500
Wa	ter withdrawals – quality		
	% verified	Not verified	
	What standard and methodology was used?	Validation of raw water quality checks is not necessary.	
	(≤ 500)		≤ 500
Wa	ter discharges – total volumes		
	% verified	76-100	

What standard and methodology was used? (≤ 500)	Data submitted to Trinity Consultants for validation.	≤ 500
Water discharges – volume by destination % verified	76-100	
What standard and methodology was used? (≤ 500)	Data submitted to Trinity Consultants for validation.	≤ 500
Water discharges – volume by treatment method % verified	Not verified	
What standard and methodology was used? (≤ 500)	Treatment method delineation not necessary.	≤ 500
Water discharge quality – quality by standard effluent pa % verified	Not verified	
What standard and methodology was used? (≤ 500)	Not applicable.	≤ 500
Water discharge quality – temperature % verified	Not verified	
What standard and methodology was used? (≤ 500)	Not applicable.	≤ 500
Water consumption – total volume % verified	76-100	
What standard and methodology was used? (≤ 500)	Data submitted to Trinity Consultants for validation.	≤ 500
Water recycled/reused % verified	Not verified	

What standard and methodology was used? (≤ 500)	Engineering estimates.	≤ 500
This question only appears if you list facilities exposed W5.1d	to water risk in W5.1	

Governance						
_	structure and mechanisms of your organization with	regards to water security.	For CDP's high impact sectors, th	e module includes a question on t	he use of	
W6.1						
4	(W6.1) Does your organization have a water	Yes, we have a docum	nented water policy that is public	ly available		
1	policy?					
ı				-		
1						
1	Your response to W6.1 will determine if subseque	ent questions are presente	ed in this section. If your response	to W6.1 is amended, data in those	e dependent	
ı	questions may be erased. In this case, be sure to					
4	W6.1	•				
W6.1a						
(W6.1a) Se	elect the options that best describe the scope and co	ntent of your water policy	•			
1						
1		Scope	Content		Please explain (≤ 1000)	
1			Select all that apply:			
Roy	w 1	Company-wide	dependency on water	Yes		
			Description of business	Yes		
			impact on water			
			Description of water-	Yes		
			related performance			
			standards for direct			
			operations			
			Description of water-	No		
			related standards for			
			procurement			
			Reference to	No		
			international standards			
			and widely-recognized			
			water initiatives			
			Company water targets	Yes		
			and goals			
			Commitment to align	No		
			with public policy			
			initiatives, such as the SDGs			
			Commitments beyond	No		
			regulatory compliance	INU		
			regulatory compilatice			

	President	The Vice President in	
		charge of Environmental	
		matters is directly	
		responsible for	
		managing information	
		on water-related issues,	
		making decisions about	
		what the company will	
		do and adapting those	
		decisions based on	
		water-related	
		information. Plans,	
		summaries, and status	
		reports are provided to	
		the President as well as	
		the Board of Directors.	
Row 1			
This question only appears if you	select "Yes" in response to W6.2		
W6.2a			
W6.2b			
(W6.2b) Provide further details on the board's o	versight of water-related issues.		
(11111111111111111111111111111111111111			
		Governance	
	Frequency that water-	mechanisms into which	
	related issues are a	water-related issues are	
	scheduled agenda item	integrated	Please explain (≤ 1500)
	scheduled agenua item	Select all that apply:	ricase explain (2 1300)
	Scheduled - all meetings		
	Scrieduled - all Meetings	implementation and Yes	
Row 1		performance	
		Overseeing acquisitions No	
		and divestiture	
		Overseeing major capital Yes	
		expenditures	
		Providing employee No	
		incentives	

	Reviewing and guiding Yes	
	annual budgets	
	Reviewing and guiding Yes	
	business plans Reviewing and guiding Yes	
	major plans of action	
	Reviewing and guiding Yes	
	risk management	
	policies	
	Reviewing and guiding Yes	
	strategy	
	Reviewing and guiding Yes	
	corporate responsibility	
	strategy Reviewing No	
	innovation/R&D	
	priorities	
	Setting performance No	
	objectives	
	Other, please specify No	
This question only appears if you select "Yes" in re	sponse to W6.2	
W6.2b		
W6.3		
(W6.3) Below board level, provide the highest-level managemen	t position(s) or committee(s) with responsibility for water-related issues.	
Row 1		
NOW 1	Other, please specify	
	Other, piease specify	
Name of the position(s) and/or committee(s)		
	Vice President, Environmental	
Responsibility	Both assessing and managing water-related risks and opportunities	
responsibility	both assessing and managing water related risks and opportunities	
Frequency of reporting to the board on water-	Quarterly	
related issues		
	The Vice President in charge of Environmental matters is directly responsible for managing information on	
	water-related issues, making decisions about what the company will do and adapting those decisions based	
	on water-related information. Plans, summaries, and status reports are provided to the President as well as	
Please explain (≤ 1000)	the Board of Directors.	≤ 1000

	W6.3			
W-FB6.4/W-CH6.4/W-I	EU6.4/W-OG6.4/W-MM6.4			
	(W-FB6.4/W-CH6.4/W-EU6.4/W-OG6.4/W-MM6.4) Do you provide incentives to C-suite employees or board members for the management of water-related issues?	No, and we do not plan to introduce them in the	next two years	
	Your response to this question will determine whet be erased. In this case, be sure to re-enter data for W-FB6.4/W-CH6.4/W-EU6.4/W-OG6.4/W-MM6.4		to this question is amended, data in the next question may	
W6.5				
			Yes No No No No No o No o o o o o o o o o	
W6.5a	(W6.5a) What processes do you have in place to ensure that all of your direct and indirect activities seeking to influence policy are consistent with your water policy/water commitments?	Government Affairs and other policy activities w	and assess environmental policy risks. This team aligns our ith our commitments. For example, our water policy astewater discharge and water withdrawal by 90% by 2025.	≤ 1500
	This question only appears if you select any of the	"Yes" options in response to W6.5.		

W7. Business strategy

The purpose of this module is to collect information on how a company is adapting its long-term business model to secure a sustainable future, in terms of both its own resilience and securing water for all.

W7.1	•	,	<u> </u>
(W7.1) Are water-related issues integrated into any aspects of	of your long-term strategic busine	ess plan, and if so how?	
	Are water-related issues integrated?	Long-term time horizon (years)	Please explain (≤ 1500)
	Yes, water-related issues are integrated		Our Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) is updated at a minimum of every 5
			years. The IRP addresses the planning for the next 5 years of operation.
Long-term business objectives			
Strategy for achieving long-term objectives	Yes, water-related issues are integrated	5-10	Current water goals identify the plan and targets until 2025.
	Yes, water-related issues are integrated	5-10	Capital projects require approval by our state regulatory commission. Financial forecasting is a required element of the submittals to the commission.
Financial planning			
W7.1			
W7.2			

(W7.2) What is the trend in your organization's water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?

	Water-related CAPEX (+/-% change)	Anticipated forward trend for CAPEX (+/- % change)	Water-related OPEX (+/- % change)	Anticipated forward trend for OPEX (+/- % change)	Please explain (≤ 1000)
					Unable to forecast due to uncertainty in upcoming regulatory requirements.
Row 1					
14/7.2	-99999999999 - 9999999	99-999999999999999999999999999999999999	-99999999999 - 9999999	99 -99999999999999999999999999999999999	999999
W7.2 W7.3 (W7.3) Does your organization use climate-related scenario analysi	is to inform its husiness stra	tegy?			
(w/.3) Does your organization use chinate related scenario analys	is to inform its pasificas stra	серу.			
	Use of climate-related scenario analysis	Comment (≤ 1000)			

		Yes	Our climate-related scenarios include	
			a 50% reduction in GHG emissions by	
			2025 and an 80% reduction in GHG	
			emissions by 2050. In the NIPSCO	
			Integrated Resource Plan (IRP)	
			process this year, we presented a	
			scenario to stakeholders whereby	
			NiSource could achieve an 80%	
			reduction in its emissions by 2050	
			(from a 2005 baseline), through a combination of renewable	
			generation, natural gas-fired	
			generation, natural gas-med generation, energy efficiency, and	
			natural gas priority pipeline	
			replacement. An 80% GHG reduction	
			by 2050 is consistent with published	
			"2-degree" scenarios.	
			'	
Rov	w 1			
				data in those dependent questions may be erased. In
	this case, be sure to re-enter data for all relevant qu	uestions. The guidance for ed	ach question indicates if it is a dependent	question
	W7.3			
W7.3a				
	(W7.3a) Has your organization identified any	No		
	water-related outcomes from your climate-			
	related scenario analysis?			
	,			
	This auestion only appears if you select "Yes" in res	nonse to W7.3. Your respons	e to W7.3a will determine whether W7.3	Bb is presented in this section. If your response to W7.3a
				tions. The guidance for each question indicates if it is a
	dependent question.	,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	W7.3a			
W7.4				
	es your company use an internal price on water?			
(****.4) 50	25 year sompany ase an internal price on water:			
Rov	w 1			

Does your company use an internal price on water?	No, and we do not anticipate doing so within the next two years		
Please explain (≤ 1000)		≤10	000
W7.4			

3. Targets					
s module collects information on your organization's water	er-related quantitative targets and qualitative goals to demonstrate	your commitment to progressing w	vater stewardship and security, and		
W8.1					
(W8.1) Describe your approach t	to setting and monitoring water-related targets and/or goals.				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
					Approach to setting an
	Levels for targets and/or		Monitoring at corporate		monitoring targets
	goals		level		and/or goals (≤ 2000)
	Select all that apply:		Select all that apply:		
	No			No	Federal and state
					regulations as well as
					the technology require for compliance are
					evaluated. Targets and
					goals are developed
					based on the complian
					activities required.
	Our company sets no		None are monitored at		
Row 1	targets or goals		corporate level		
NOW 1	Company-wide targets Yes			Yes	
	and goals		the corporate level	103	
	Business level specific No			Yes	
	targets and/or goals		the corporate level		
	Activity level specific No				
	targets and/or goals				
	Site/facility specific No				
	targets and/or goals				
	Brand/product specific No				
	targets and/or goals				
	Country level targets No				
	and/or goals Basin specific targets No				
	and/or goals				
	Other please specify No.				

Your response to W8.1 will prompt which subsequent questions in this section are presented. If your response to W8.1 is amended, data in those dependent questions may be erased. In this case, be sure to re-enter data for all relevant questions. The guidance for each question indicates if it is a dependent question. If you select "Our company sets no targets and/or goals" in column 1, you will be presented with W8.1c; If you select "Targets are monitored at the corporate level" in column 2, you will be presented with W8.1a; If you select "Goals are monitored at the corporate

level" in column 2, you will be presented with W8.1b. W8.1 W8.1a (W8.1a) Provide details of your water targets that are monitored at the corporate level, and the progress made. Row 1 Target 1 Target reference number Water withdrawals Category of target Company-wide Level Cost savings Primary motivation 90% reduction ≤ 1000 Description of target (≤ 1000) % reduction in total water withdrawals Quantitative metric 2005 Baseline year 1900 - 2100 2017 Start year 1900 - 2018 2025 Target year 2017 - 2100 21.00 % achieved 0 - 100 Please explain (≤ 1000) ≤ 1000 Row 2

	Target reference number	Target 2					
	Category of target	Water consumption					
	Level	Company-wide]				
	Primary motivation	Cost savings]		
	Description of target (≤ 1000)	90% reduction				≤ 1000	
	Quantitative metric	% reduction in total water	consumption				
	Baseline year	2005		1900 - 2100			
	Start year	2017		1900 - 2018			
	Target year	2025		2017 - 2100			
	% achieved	21.00		0 - 100			
	Please explain (≤ 1000)					≤ 1000	
Row 3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Target 3					
	Category of target	Water discharge					
	Level	Company-wide]				
	Primary motivation	Cost savings]		
	Description of target (≤ 1000)	90% reduction				≤ 1000	

Quantitative metric	Other, please specify		
Baseline year	% reduction in total water discharge 2005	1900 - 2100	
Start year	2017	1900 - 2018	
Target year	2025	2017 - 2100	
% achieved	22.00	0 - 100	
Please explain (≤ 1000)			≤ 1000
This question only appears if you select "Targets a W8.1a	re monitored at the corporate level" in response to colun	nn 2 of W8.1.	

W8.1b			
(W8.1b) Pro	ovide details of your water goal(s) that are monitored at	t the corporate level and the progress made.	
Row	1		ı
	Goal	Engagement with public policy makers to advance sustainable water management and policies	
	Level	Company-wide	
		Recommended sector best practice	
	Motivation		
	Description of goal (≤ 1500)		≤ 1500
	Baseline year	1900 - 2100	
	Start year	1900 - 2018	
	End year	2017 - 2100	
	Progress (≤ 1500)		≤ 1500
	This question only appears if you select "Goals are m W8.1b	nonitored at the corporate level" in response to column 2 of W8.1.	

Linkages and trade-offs			
module asks about linkages and trade	eoffs that may have been identified and/or considere	ed when taking actions to manage risks or pursue opportunities related to water and other environmental issues.	
W9.1			
		Yes	
	(W9.1) Has your organization identified any		
	linkages or tradeoffs between water and other		
	environmental issues in its direct operations		
	and/or other parts of its value chain?		
	· ·		
	Your response to W9.1 will determine whether W9	1a is presented in this section. If your response W9.1 is amended, data in those dependent questions may be	
		relevant questions. The guidance for each question indicates if it is a dependent question.	
	W9.1		
W9.1a			
	cribe the linkages or tradeoffs and the related manag	compant notice or action	
(W9.1a) Desc	clibe the linkages of tradeons and the related manag	ement policy of action.	
Row 1	1		
	Linkage or tradeoff	Linkage	
	Ğ		
	Type of linkage/tradeoff	Decreased wastewater treatment	
			_
		Reduction of wash water resulted in avoiding additional capital costs for a permanent waste treatment]
	Description of linkage/tradeoff (≤ 1000)	operation.	≤ 1000
	Policy or action (≤ 1500)		≤ 1500
	This question only appears if you select "Yes" in resp	ponse to W9.1.	
	W9.1a		

Verification W10.1	(W10.1) Do you verify any other water information reported in your CDP disclosure (not already covered by W5.1d)?	No, we do not currently verify any other water information reported in our CDP disclosure
	Your response to W10.1 determines whether W10.1 W10.1a W10.1	1a is presented. If your response to W10.1 is amended, data in may be erased, be sure to re-enter data fo

Sign off					
W-FI					
	(W-FI) Use this field to provide any additional				
	information or context that you feel is relevant to				
	your organization's response. Please note that this				
	field is optional and is not scored.				
					≤ 4000
	Please note that completing this field is optional and	will not be scored.			
	Please click the "File upload" button (paperclip icon)	to drag and drop a file.			
W11.1					
	ovide details for the person that has signed off (approve	ed) vour CDP water response	ے		
(***11.1)	ovide details for the person that has signed on (approve	ay your cor water response	··		
			Corresponding job		
		Job title (≤ 200)	category		
		,	<i>5</i> ,		
		Vice President,	Other, please specify		
Row	v 1	Environmental		Vice President	
	W11.1				
W11.2					
		Yes			
	(M/11 2) Please indicate whether your organization				
	(W11.2) Please indicate whether your organization agrees for CDP to transfer your publicly disclosed				
	data on your impact and risk response strategies				
	to the CEO Water Mandate's Water Action Hub				
	[applies only to W2.1a (response to impacts),				
	W4.2 and W4.2a (response to risks)].				
	W11.2				

Submit your response	*In which language are you submitting yor response?	our English			
	Please confirm how your response should be handled b	oy CDP			
Please refer to the Terms for an explanation of how CDP will use your data based on your selection.					
		*Public or Non-Public			
		Submission	*I am submitting to		
	I am submitting my response	Public	Investors	Yes]
Please see CDP's Priva	acy Policy				
Please read CDP's Ter	ms for responding to Investors (2018 Water Security)				
	*Please confirm below				
		I have read and accept th	I have read and accept the applicable Terms]